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History of Curricula for Special Education

- **Developmental**: looks at sequential steps defined for typically developing children and takes students step by step through exactly the same sequence.

- **Functional**: looks at future environments in which a student must function and work on skills necessary for success in those environments.

- **Social Inclusion**: looks at the skills necessary for students to participate in learning and social activities with their age appropriate peers and focuses on participation in those activities.

- **General Curriculum Access**: looks at elements of grade level appropriate, general education curriculum and focuses on learning that content.
Pros and Cons

- Discuss each curriculum and identify some of the good and not-so-good qualities.
Developmental (1970’s)

- Innovative and showed that students with disabilities could learn
- Didn’t work for students as they got older
Functional (1980’s)

- Made sense
- Student success
- “Push down” effect
- Activities vs. skills
- Limits on expectations
Social Inclusion (1990’s)

- Communication and social skills could flourish
- Realized that classroom routines had functional opportunities
- Academic skills were functional
Access to the General Curriculum (2000’s)

- Realizes that students could learn content
- Increased knowledge and development of technology offers increased access
- Does not limit expectations
- Addresses standards based curriculum (sequential vs. catalog approach to curriculum)
Important Learning from Each Evolutionary Period

- Activities and materials must be chronologically age appropriate while understanding the emerging development of each student.
- Functional skills remain a high priority, but must be taught in the context of general curriculum/activities.
- Social relationships are important but are developed around a shared culture.
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Videoclips

Riannah’s Self Evaluation
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What Did You See?

- Items from other curricula
- Presentation of content
- Supports (assistive technology, etc.)
- Expectations
- Performance
Standards Based Curriculum

- New for all education
  - Curriculum became focused on a goal
  - Links to real-life situations
    - Authentic learning
    - High expectations
Research on Academic Interventions


- Reading
- Math
- Science
How Literature Was Identified

- A total of 362 terms or combinations of terms were used to define the research base.
- Both electronic and print resources were used.
- The table of contents in current refereed journals were manually searched.
How Literature Was Organized

- National Reading Panel (Components of Reading)

- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics Education (Content Standards)

- National Research Council (National Science Education Standards recommended strands for science)
Review of Reading

- 128 studies found within 119 articles
- Disabilities
  - N=617 moderate MR
  - N=124 severe MR
  - N=60 autism
  - N=114 other terms (e.g., severe developmental disability)
  - N=204 other disabilities
- Age
  - Most elementary age
  - Rest were younger adolescents or high school transition
  - Older studies may not have specified age (used mental age)
- Setting
  - Most in self contained special education classrooms or research settings
  - A few in general education classrooms (N=14)
Literature Review Categories for Reading

128 experiments (119 articles)

Components of Reading

Frequency

- Fluency: 36
- Vocab: 117
- Phonics: 13
- Phonemic Awareness: 5
- Comp: 31
Strongest research exists for...

- Teaching students with the most significant cognitive disabilities sight words using repeated trial instruction with systematic prompting with feedback
  - With errorless learning strategy like time delay
We have not yet tried to teach this population to read....


Review of Mathematics

- N= 55 experiments in 53 articles
- Disabilities
  - 47 experiments studied students with moderate MR
  - 16 experiments studied students with severe MR
  - 5 experiments studied students with autism
  - 1 experiments studied students with other disabilities
- Age
  - Most studies included participants ranging from elementary to high school
  - 13 articles also included adult participants
- Setting
  - 51% of the experiments took place in the special education classroom
  - 33% of the experiments took place in the community setting
Literature Review Categories for Mathematics

Components for Math
* categories are not mutually exclusive
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We Have Strongest Evidence for...

- Teaching students to use money in context of making a purchase
- Using systematic prompting and fading
- Task analysis of steps to make the purchase
We Know The Least About Teaching This Population…

- Geometry and spatial sense
- Algebra, including patterns and sequences

\[(a + b)^2 = a^2 + 2ab + b^2\]
Review of Science

- Least frequently addressed area
- Only found 10 studies; all single subject
- Total N=42 participants
- All in separate special education contexts; one in a summer program
- Nearly all were Science for Personal and Social Perspective (First aid and safety research)
Literature Review
Categories for Science

Literature Review for Science
10 articles, 10 studies

Components of science
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We have the most evidence for...

- Teaching science using real life activity
  - Specifically First Aid and Safety
- Using systematic prompting and fading
What we have the least of...

- Not a great deal for any category of science
Reasons for the problem

- Lack of literature defining academic outcomes for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities
- Variety of curricular philosophies in place across states
Continued Learning

- Continue to refine our perceptions of curriculum to ensure success in current and future environments
- Access to formal and informal curriculum
- Functional skills have not been sacrificed